

87

## Notice of Allowability

Application No.

10/042,482

Examiner

John J. Romano

Applicant(s)

AKELLA ET AL.

Art Unit

2192

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--**

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. ☒ This communication is responsive to applicants amendments and response, dated 4/06/05, to non-final first action.
2. ☒ The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-33.
3. ☒ The drawings filed on 01 March 2002 are accepted by the Examiner.
4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) ☐ All   b) ☐ Some\*   c) ☐ None   of the:
    1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.  
**THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.**

5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
  6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
    - (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( PTO-948) attached
      - 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
    - (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
7. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)                       |
| 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                | 6. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413),<br>Paper No./Mail Date _____. |
| 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08),<br>Paper No./Mail Date _____ | 7. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Amendment/Comment                               |
| 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit<br>of Biological Material          | 8. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance              |
|   | 9. <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____.  |

  
**TUAN DAM**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

### **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it **MUST** be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Lisa Yociss, Agent number 36,975 on June 21, 2005.

The application has been amended as follows:

#### **IN THE CLAIM,**

Please ammend Claim 23 as follows:

Claim 23. (Currently amended),

At line 1, after "program product" insert stored in a computer readable storage medium.

#### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

2. Claims 1-33 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2192

3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Independent claims 1, 12 and 23, at least recite "...defining within an object, a base class and a subclass that is a subclass of said base class, said base class and said subclass being included within said object, said object defined within said object oriented environment..." such techniques are known in the prior art as admitted by Applicant (see pages 3-5 of the instant specification).

However, claims 1, 12 and 23 further recite "...defining an attribute within said subclass; and storing, within said base class, attribute data defined for said attribute, said attribute data being stored only within said base class." The prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest this limitation. Closest prior art, *Evans*, Examiner-cited art, teaches storing attribute values (data) within a Management Information Base (MIB) table. The MIB table includes rows that each correspond to either the root class or another class. The attribute data is stored within the row that corresponds to its attribute. The MIB table does not include attribute data being stored only within the base class. Attribute data is stored in rows that correspond to other classes, not just the root class.

In addition, dependent claims 2, 13 and 24 also describe a first subclass and a second subclass within the object where the first subclass is a subclass of the base class and the second subclass is a subclass of the first subclass. Attribute data defined for the first and second attributes is stored within only the base class and not within either the first or second subclasses. *Evans* does not teach a first subclass and a

Art Unit: 2192

second subclass where attribute data for these subclasses is stored only within the base class.

Claims 3-11, 14-22 and 25-33 are considered allowable by virtue of their dependence on allowable independent claims 1, 12 and 23.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Romano whose telephone number is (571) 272-3872. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on (571) 272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2192

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JJR  
June 21, 2005



**TUAN DAM**  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER